

## Family Measures Projects

Projects Completed by Members of the Family Measures Subcommittee of the IFNA Research Committee



### Projects Completed By Members of the Family Measures Subcommittee

- ◆ IFNA 2017 Measures Survey (106 participants from 20 countries/31 questions/80 family measures listed )
  - ◆ Findings available on IFNA website https://internationalfamilynursing.org/resources-forfamily-nursing/research/measurement-resources/
- IFNA 2019 Measures Survey (108 participants from 19 countries/8 questions/12 family measures listed)
  - **◆** Findings presented at 14<sup>th</sup> IFNC
- Family Measures Project (started in 2019)
  - Collected information on family measures commonly used by IFNA members



#### 2019 Family Measures Survey

- Demographic questions
- List of 12 family measures most commonly used by IFNA members who participated in the 1st survey
- Chance for participants to indicate:
  - Which measures they have used
  - Cite publications in which they present findings from a study using one or more of the 12 measures

- 1. Family Management Measure (FaMM) (25.93%)
- 2. Families' Importance in Nursing Care: Nurses' Attitudes (FINC-NA) (23.46%)
- 3. Family APGAR (19.75%)
- 4. Iceland-Family Perceived Support Questionnaire (ICE-FPSQ) (17.28%)
- 5. Family Hardiness Index (14.81%)
- 6. Feetham Family Functioning Scale (FFFS) (13.58%)
- 6. Family Assessment Device (FAD) (13.58%)
- 7. PedsQL Family Impact Scale (12.35%)
- 7. Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scales (FACES 1-IV) (12.35%)
- 8. Family Environment Scale (11.11%)
- 9. Family Inventory of Resources for Management (FIRM) (7.41%)
- 9. Survey of Family Environment (SFE) (3.70%)



## Family Measures Project

- The main goal of this project was to give IFNA members access to up-to-date information about select family measures
  - Members of the Family Measures Subcommittee agreed to collect information on family measures commonly used by IFNA members
    - Findings from the 2019 Family Measures Survey were used to decide which family measures to start with.
    - Then, each member chose what family measure they wanted to work on.
    - A template was used to make sure similar data was collected on all the measures.



## Family Measures Project

IFNA Member(s)	Family Measure
Helene Moriarty & Gwendolyn Hamid	Family Adaptability and Cohesion Scale (FACES IV)
Kathleen Swain	Family APGAR
Beth Cosgrove Skelton	Family Assessment Device (FAD)
Hyunkyung Choi	Family Environment Survey (FES)
Cristina Alfaro Díaz	Family Function Style Scale (FFSS)
Marcia Van Riper & Karen Armijos- Yamby	Family Hardiness Index (FHI)
Birte Ostergaard & Marie Louise Luttik	Families' Importance in Nursing Care- Nurses Attitudes FINC-NA
Kim Mooney-Doyle	Family Inventory of Resources for Management (FIRM)
Kathleen Knafl	Family Management Measure (FaMM)
Suzanne Feetham & colleagues	Feetham Family Functioning Scale (FFFS)
Margaret Gisladottir & Erla Kolbrun Svavarsdottir	Iceland –Family Illness Beliefs Questionnaire (ICE-FIBO)
Eydis Kristin Sveinbjarnardottir & Erla Kolbrun Svavarsdottir	Iceland-Family Perceived Support Questionnaire (ICE-FPSQ)
Louise Fleming	Peds Quality of Life Family Impact Scale
Junko Honda and colleagues (Mr. Takatani and Mr. Nakaguchi)	Survey of Family Environment



# Information Collected For Each Family Measure

- Title of Measure
- Original article describing how the measure was developed and tested (Citation and PDF if possible). Also, link to website which shows how to obtain measure.
- Key information from the original article
- Psychometrics from original article
- Scoring procedure
- Utilization
- Norms/Comparative Data
- Psychometrics from other studies using the measure
- Populations the measure has been used with
- Languages the measure is available in
- Strengths and Limitations that have been identified for the measure
- Reference list of studies in which the measure was used
- If possible, PDFs of studies by IFNA researchers who have used the measure



## Additional Information About Family Measures



## Articles and Books Identifying Family Measures

- Alderfer, M. et al., (2008) Evidence-based assessment in pediatric psychology: Family measures. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 33, 1046-1061.
- Bell, J. (2015). Family nursing research instruments developed by family nurses.
   <a href="http://janicembell.com/2015/08/family-nursing-research-instruments-developed-by-family-nurses/">http://janicembell.com/2015/08/family-nursing-research-instruments-developed-by-family-nurses/</a>
- Dai, L., & Wang, L. (2015). Review of family functioning. Open Journal of Social Sciences, 3, 134-141
- Hamilton, E., & Carr, A. (2016). Systematic review of self-report family assessment measures. *Family Process*, *55*, 16-30.
- Hu, X., Summers, J.A., Turnbull, A., & Zuna, N. (2011). The quantitative measurement of family quality of life: a review of available instruments. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research, 55, 1098-1114
- McCubbin, H., & Thompson, A. & McCubbin (eds.) (1996). Family Assessment: Resiliency, Coping, and Adaptation. Inventories for Research and Practice. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin.
- Pritchett, R., Kemp, J., Wilson, P., Minnis, H., Bryce, G. & Gilberg. (2010). Quick, simple measures for use in clinical practice and research. A systematic review. Family Practice (Advance access published October 26, 2010), 0, 1-16.
- Sawin, K.J. (2016). Measurement in Family Nursing: Established instruments and new directions. *Journal of Family Nursing*, 22(3), 287-297.
- Sawin, K.J. (1995). *Measures of Family Functioning for Research and Practice*. Springer Publishing Company
- Touliatos, J., et al. (2001). *Handbook of Family Measurement Techniques*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage (three volume set)



- Special issue of the Journal of Pediatric Psychology
  - Family
    Assessment in
    Pediatric
    Psychology
    (2011), Volume
    36, Issue 5



# Journal of PEDIATRIC PSYCHOLOGY

#### CONTENTS

Special Issue: Family Assessment in Pediatric Psychology

- 489 Introduction to Special Issue: Advancing the Science of Family Assessment in Pediatric Psychology Lamta P. Barakat and Meltssa A. Alderfer
- 494 Assessment of the Psychometric Properties of the Family
  Management Measure
  Kathleen Knafl, Janet A. Deatrick, Agatha Gallo, Jane Dixon, Margaret Grey,
  George Knafl and Jean O'Malley
- 506 Assessing Psychological Well-Being in Mothers of Children with Disability: Evaluation of the Parenting Morale Index and Family Impact of Childhood Disability Scale Karen M. Benzies, Barry Trute, Catherine Worthington, John Reddon, Leste-Anne Keown and Melante Moore
- 517 The Impact of Pediatric Chronic Pain on Parents' Health-Related Quality of Life and Family Functioning: Reliability and Validity of the PedsQL 4.0 Family Impact Module Kristen E. Jastrowskit Mano, Kimberly Anderson Khan, Renee J. Ladwig and Steven J. Wetsman
- 528 Psychometric Properties of the FACES-IV in a Pediatric Oncology Population Meghan L. Marsac and Meltssa A. Alderfer
- 539 Use of the Family Interaction Macro-coding System with Families of Adolescents: Psychometric Properties Among Pediatric and Healthy Populations

  Astrida Seja Kaugars, Kathy Zebrackt, Jessica C. Kichler,

  Christopher J. Fitzgerald, Rachel Neff Greenley, Ramin Alemzadeh and Grayson N. Holmbeck
- 552 Assessment of Parental Expressed Emotion: Associations with Adolescent Depressive Symptoms Among Youth with Spina Bifida Lauren M. Kelly, Grayson N. Holmbeck and Kerry O'Mahar

continued on back cover

www.jpepsy.oxfordjournals.org



VOLUME 36 Number 5

JUNE
2011
DIVISION 54
OF THE
AMERICAN
PSYCHOLOGICAL
ASSOCIATION



## Health and Psychosocial Instruments (HaPI) Data Base <a href="https://www.ebsco.com/products/research-">https://www.ebsco.com/products/research-</a>

<u>databases/health-and-psychosocial-instruments-hapi</u>

- Access to information on approximately 50,000 measurement instruments:
  - Questionnaires
  - Checklists,
  - Coding schemes
  - Indexes
  - Interview schedules
  - Projective techniques
  - Rating scales
  - Surveys
  - Tasks
  - Tests
  - Vignettes/Scenarios

- Full-text of the instruments not in data base; however, HaPI can be used to:
  - Determine the availability of reliability and validity evidence
  - Track the history of an instrument over time
  - Locate ordering information
- Your university may give you free access to HaPI from Ovid Technologies or EBSCO
  - Or, you can pay for access (30 day free trial) from:
    - ♦ OVÍDSP <u>http://www.ovid.com</u>
    - ♦ EBSCO <a href="http://www.ebscohost.com">http://www.ebscohost.com</a>



# Detailed Record for Every HaPI Entry

- Every record within HAPI includes
  - **♦** Title
  - Acronym
  - Authors
  - Language
  - Index Terms
  - Reference

- Some entrys include
  - Abstracts
  - Sample Items
  - Number of Questions
  - Subscales
  - Reliability
  - And Other information



#### PROMIS: Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System



- https://www.healthmeas ures.net/exploremeasurementsystems/promis
- A set of person-centered measures that evaluates and monitors physical, mental, and social health in adults and children.
- It can be used with the general population and with individuals living with chronic conditions.

#### Why use PROMIS?

- Developed and validated with state-of-thescience methods to be psychometrically sound and to transform how life domains are measured
- Designed to enhance communication between clinicians and patients in diverse research and clinical settings
- Created to be relevant across all conditions for the assessment of symptoms and functions
- Available in multiple formats and easily integrated into diverse administration platforms. <u>Try the PROMIS CAT Demo>></u>
- Translations available in many languages



### Examples of Measures

- PROMIS Pediatric
   Bank Family
   Relationships
- PROMIS Parent Proxy – Family Relationships

PROMIS Early
 Childhood Parent Report Social
 Relationships Family
 Relationships



## Pediatric Family Relationships

PROMIS® Pediatric Item Bank v1.0 - Family Relationships

#### **Pediatric Family Relationships**

Please respond to each item by marking one box per row.

#### In the past 4 weeks...

		110101	rearciy	Sometimes	Official	THINAYS
FAM_FB_0 01R1r	I felt really respected by my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 02R1r	I felt important in my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAMLFB_0 03R1r	I felt my family believed in me	1	2	3	4	5
FAMLFB_0 04R1r	My family was interested in what I was doing	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 05R1r	People in my family made me feel good about myself	1	2	3	4	5
FAMUFB_0 11R1r	I felt totally accepted by my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAMLFB_0 19R1r	I felt that my family understood me	1	2	3	4	5
FAMLFB_0 24R1r	I could depend on my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 25R1r	I got the support I needed from my family.	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 27R1r	I knew what I could expect from others in my family	1	2	3	4	5

PROMIS® Pediatric Item Bank v1.0 - Family Relationships - Short Form 4a

#### Pediatric Family Relationships – Short Form 4a

Please respond to each item by marking one box per row.

In the	past 4	weeks
--------	--------	-------

	_	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Always
FAM_FB_0 74R1r	I felt I had a strong relationship with my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 88R1r	I felt really important to my family		2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 29R1r	I got all the help I needed from my family		2	3	4	5
FAM_FI_12 OR1r	My family and I had fun together	1	2	3	4	5

PROMIS® Pediatric Item Bank v1.0 - Family Relationships - Short Form 8a

#### Pediatric Family Relationships - Short Form 8a

Sometimes

Often

Always

Please respond to each item by marking one box per row.

#### In the past 4 weeks...

		110101	rearciy	Sometimes	Onten	7 KIW Wy 5
FAM_FB_0 74R1r	I felt I had a strong relationship with my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 88R1r	I felt really important to my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 29R1r	I got all the help I needed from my family	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FL12 OR1r	My family and I had fun together	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 OSR1r	People in my family made me feel good about myself	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FB_0 42R1r	My family treated me fairly	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FL02 6R1r	My parents listened to me	1	2	3	4	5
FAM_FL03 4R1r	My family paid a lot of attention to me	1	2	3	4	5



## PROMIS® Pediatric Item Bank - Family Relationships:


Cox, E. D., Connolly, J. R., Palta, M., Rajamanickam, V. P., & Flynn, K. E. (2020). Reliability and validity of PROMIS® pediatric family relationships short form in children 8-17 years of age with chronic disease. Quality of life research: an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation, 29(1), 191–199.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s111 36-019-02266-x  Schwartz, J., Huntington, N., Toomey, M., Laverdiere, M., Bevans, K., Blum, N., & Bridgemohan, C. (2018). Measuring the involvement in family life of children with autism spectrum disorder: A DBPNet study. Research in developmental disabilities, 83, 18–27.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ri dd.2018.07.012



#### Translation and cross-cultural adaptation of eight pediatric PROMIS® item banks into Spanish and German



Devine, J., Schröder, L. A., Metzner, F., Klasen, F., Moon, J., Herdman, M., Hurtado, M. P., Castillo, G., Haller, A. C., Correia, H., Forrest, C. B., & Ravens-Sieberer, U. (2018). Translation and cross-cultural adaptation of eight pediatric PROMIS® item banks into Spanish and German. Quality of life research: an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation, 27(9), 2415-2430. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-

018-1874-8



◆ Blomqvist, I., Chaplin, J. E., Nilsson, E., Henje, E., & Dennhag, I. (2021). Swedish translation and cross-cultural adaptation of eight pediatric item banks from the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS)<sup>®</sup>. *Journal of patient-reported outcomes*, 5(1), 80.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-021-00353-7

	English	Swedish	Items
Physical health	PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0—Pain interference	Smärtpåverkan	20
	PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0—Fatigue	Trötthet	25
	PROMIS Pediatric Bank v1.0—Physical activity	Fysisk aktivitet	10
Mental health	PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0—Depressive symptom	Depressiva symptom	14
	PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0—Anxiety	Ångest	15
	PROMIS Pediatric Scale v2.0—Anger 9a	Ilska	9
Social health	PROMIS Pediatric Bank v2.0 – Peer relationships	Kamratrelationer	15
	PROMIS Pediatric Short Form v.1.0—Family relationships 8a	Familjerelationer	8

Table 2 Translation process (modified FACIT methodology)			
Steps in the process	Description		
1. Forward translation	Two translators with experience of health questionnaires independently translated into Swedish		
2. Reconciliation	Reconciliator with expert knowledge in health questionnaire design, aiming to resolve discrepancies in the translations and adapt to modern questionnaire design requirements		
3. Expert reviews	First independently and then in small groups of 3 to 5 people examined the linguistic adequacy and meaning equivalence of the reconciled item translation. With reference to professional knowledge of the theoretical construct and the definition		
4. Back-translation	Back translation and comparison with the original English item banks was done by a bilingual native English speaker		
5. Cognitive debriefing	Eleven healthy adolescents between 8 and 17 years (9 girls and 2 boys, mean age 14 years, median age 14 years) completed the cognitive debriefing individually. The participants were interviewed by four interviewers		
6. Final report	A report was written at the end of the process, documenting the development of the translation		